Physiological attunement in mother–infant dyads at clinical high risk: The influence of maternal depression and positive parenting

CASSANDRA L. HENDRIX,[a] ZACHARY N. STOWE,[b] D. JEFFREY NEWPORT,[c] AND PATRICIA A. BRENNAN[a]
[a]*Emory University;* [b]*University of Wisconsin;* and [c]*University of Miami Miller School of Medicine*

Abstract

A growing number of research studies have examined the intradyadic coregulation (or attunement) of hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal axis functioning in mothers and their children. However, it is unclear how early this coregulation may be present in dyads at clinical high risk and whether certain factors, such as maternal depression or positive parenting, are associated with the strength of this coregulation. The present study examined cortisol attunement within mother–infant dyads in a high-risk sample of 233 mothers who received treatment for psychiatric illness during pregnancy and whose infants were 6 months old at the study visit. Results showed that maternal and infant cortisol covaried across four time points that included a stressor paradigm and a mother–infant interaction task. Greater maternal positive affect, but not depression, predicted stronger cortisol attunement. In addition, infants' cortisol level following separation from the mother predicted mothers' cortisol level at the next time point. Mothers' cortisol level following the separation and the laboratory stress paradigm predicted infants' cortisol levels at each successive time point, over and above infants' own cortisol at the previous time point. These findings suggest that maternal and infant cortisol levels influence one another in a bidirectional fashion that may be temporally and context dependent.

The hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis is one of the main biological systems associated with the human stress response. Dysregulation of this system, such as heightened stress reactivity (Bale, 2006) and slowed recovery from stressors (Burke, Davis, Otte, & Mohr, 2005), has repeatedly been linked to depression and may confer risk for depression across generations (Goodman & Gotlib, 1999). Understanding the development of this system may be an integral step in the early identification of vulnerable populations, enabling potential prevention of depression onset in children and adolescents. However, external factors that regulate the development of the HPA axis are still being identified. The primary goal of the present study is to elucidate factors that may influence early development of this system, including positive parenting behaviors and the coregulation of stress responses between individuals (the latter of which is known as attunement).

The quality of caregiving infants receive early in life is closely tied to later stress reactivity across multiple species (Gunnar & Donzella, 2002; Hunter, Minnis, & Wilson, 2011; Newport, Stowe, & Nemeroff, 2002; Sanchez, 2006; Sanchez et al., 2010), yet the mechanism by which caregiving influences infant stress responses is still unclear. A promising area of research that provides new insight into the role of caregiving on the development of stress reactivity places particular emphasis on the attunement of biological systems between caregivers and their infants (Atkinson, Khoury, Ludmer, Jamieson, & Gonzalez, 2016). Physiological attunement within mother–infant dyads may influence infant stress reactivity in several significant ways. First, changes in maternal physiology may drive changes in infant physiology, suggesting that infants use their mothers as a source of information about how they should respond to various environmental contexts. This type of mirroring could facilitate infant learning about how to respond to and recover from environmental stressors, thus shaping the development of stress regulation.

Second, changes in infant physiology may drive changes in maternal physiology, facilitating or reflecting maternal sensitivity, which itself plays an important role in infant stress regulation (Blair, Granger, Willoughby, & Kivlighan, 2006; Hane & Fox, 2006). For example, a sensitive mother may be more attentive to microcues that signal her child's distress, which then results in heightening of her own physiological distress response. A few studies have demonstrated that physiological attunement is stronger, or exclusively present, in

mother–child dyads in which the mother shows more sensitive caregiving compared to dyads in which the mother shows less sensitive behaviors (Atkinson et al., 2013; Sethre-Hofstad, Stansbury, & Rice, 2002; van Bakel & Riksen-Walraven, 2008). Such research provides preliminary support for the notion that attunement may reflect (or facilitate) maternal sensitivity. However, no research has yet utilized time-lagged models to assess the directionality of physiological attunement in mother–infant dyads, so it is unclear whether attunement is a measure of maternal sensitivity and adaptation to her infant's needs or instead reflects the infant's physiological adaptation to maternal cues.

The coregulation of biological stress systems such as the HPA axis is particularly relevant to the development of stress reactivity in early infancy and has been observed in parent–child dyads from 3 months of age (Thompson & Trevathan, 2008) all the way up to adolescence (Papp, Pendry, & Adam, 2009). A recent longitudinal study of over 1,000 mother–infant dyads shows that HPA axis attunement between mothers and their infants is stable across infancy and toddlerhood (Hibel, Granger, Blair, & Finegood, 2015). Attunement may therefore serve an important role in physiological development and/or close interpersonal relationships at multiple developmental stages. Attunement of cortisol fluctuations, a marker of HPA axis functioning, has also been detected in unrelated romantic partners (Brandtstädter, Baltes-Götz, Kirschbaum, & Hellhammer, 1991; Powers, Pietromonaco, Gunlicks, & Sayer, 2006), suggesting that attunement is not solely a function of shared genetics, but is instead associated with shared environmental context or strong attachment.

Maternal depression may disrupt mother–infant cortisol attunement, but this literature is mixed. At least two studies have failed to find a significant association between history of maternal depression and cortisol attunement between mothers and their adolescent daughters (LeMoult, Chen, Foland-Ross, Burley, & Gotlib, 2015) and between mothers and their preschool-age children (Pratt et al., 2017). Yet other evidence links *changes* in maternal depression to stronger mother–infant cortisol attunement at 18 months postpartum (Laurent, Ablow, & Measelle, 2011) and heightened maternal depression to stronger attunement when children were 3 to 5 years of age (Merwin, Smith, Kushner, Lemay, & Dougherty, 2017). In contrast, maternal depression has been repeatedly linked to decreased sensitivity during mother–child interactions (see Lovejoy, Graczyk, O'Hare, & Neuman, 2000, for a meta-analysis). Decreased sensitivity in turn predicts weaker mother–infant attunement (Atkinson et al., 2013; Hibel et al., 2015; Sethre-Hofstad et al., 2002; van Bakel & Riksen-Walraven, 2008). Whether, and in what direction, maternal depression may be associated with mother–child cortisol attunement is therefore unclear, but a larger number of independent research groups have replicated associations between maternal depression and decreased sensitivity, and between decreased sensitivity and weakened attunement. Other research has found maternal depression to be associated with weakened behavioral attunement (Field, Healy,

Goldstein, & Guthertz, 1990) and weakened respiratory sinus arrhythmia attunement (Woody, Feurer, Sosoo, Hastings, & Gibb, 2016) between mothers and their children. Much of the extant data therefore suggests that maternal depression may weaken mother–infant cortisol attunement, but more research is required given the dearth of studies in this area. The authors were unable to find any published research that has examined whether maternal depression, and the altered parenting practices that often accompany depression, impact the development of cortisol attunement in mother–infant dyads within the first year of life. Rates of both maternal and paternal depression are particularly high 3 to 6 months after the birth of a child (Paulson & Bazemore, 2010). The first 6 months postpartum is therefore an important time at which to examine the influence of maternal depression on mother–infant attunement.

Mothers with depression show less positive affect overall during mother–infant interactions (Righetti-Veltema, Conne-Perréard, Bousquet, & Manzano, 2002). It is unknown whether such alterations in positive emotional expression are linked to mother–infant cortisol attunement, but environmental context (Clearfield, Carter-Rodriguez, Merali, & Shober, 2014; Ruttle, Serbin, Stack, Schwartzman, & Shirtcliff, 2011) and maternal sensitivity (Sethre-Hofstad et al., 2002; van Bakel & Riksen-Walraven, 2008) appear to play important roles in attunement. If cortisol attunement occurs following, or in conjunction with, mothers and infants attending to facial and bodily cues that signal distress or relaxation, the extent to which a mother expresses various emotional states may also be an important predictor of attunement. Maternal expression of negative emotion is related to stronger mother–child cortisol attunement (Papp et al., 2009; Pratt et al., 2017). However, in infants, both heightened negative emotionality and heightened positive emotionality has been linked to stronger mother–infant cortisol attunement (Merwin et al., 2017). Such findings suggest that increases in the expression of both positive and negative emotions may strengthen attunement, at least in infancy. In addition, appropriate maternal gaze toward her infant during interactions may be a necessary prerequisite for the attunement process, particularly if attunement is in part dependent upon the mother's accurate perception and interpretation of her infant's bodily cues. Gaze toward partner predicts stronger behavioral synchrony in mother– and father–child dyads (Feldman, 2003), but it is not yet known whether maternal gaze is similarly related to cortisol attunement.

The vast majority of studies examining cortisol attunement have been conducted in healthy community samples. Given that dysregulated stress reactivity has repeatedly been implicated in the development of depression, attunement of stress systems may be particularly important to study within mother–infant dyads at high risk for depression. The present study aims to fill several key gaps in the literature by examining attunement of a major biological stress system, the HPA axis, in a high-risk sample of psychiatrically ill women and their infants. Severity of maternal depression (measured using

both self-report and clinician ratings) will be examined as a predictor of weaker mother–infant cortisol attunement. An additional aim of the present study is to examine whether specific positive parenting behaviors (i.e., positive affect and gaze toward infant) predict stronger mother–infant physiological attunement. Positive parenting behaviors in particular are emerging as important foci in developmental research as they offer protective benefits to children at heightened risk for psychopathology (e.g., Schechter et al., 2017). Improving our understanding of the impact of these protective factors may therefore inform future interventions. The final aim of the present study is to explore the directionality of physiological attunement in mother–infant dyads.

Methods

Participants

This study utilizes a prospective, longitudinal sample of 233 women and their infants. Mothers' ages ranged from 20 to 44 years ($M = 34.1$, $SD = 4.2$), and 92.3% of mothers were married or cohabitating (see Table 1 for additional demographic information). Mothers were recruited during pregnancy from the Emory Women's Mental Health Program, a tertiary care center for women with psychiatric disorders during the peripartum period. A majority of women experienced at least one psychiatric illness across their lifetime, with the most common diagnosis being major depressive disorder ($n = 195$,

83.7%). The frequency of DSM-IV diagnoses in the sample are shown in Table 2. In addition, most of the women received psychopharmacologic treatment during pregnancy ($n = 204$, 87.6%) and were taking psychotropic medication at the time of the study visit ($n = 192$, 82.4%). Infants with major congenital malformations (e.g., spina bifida) were excluded from the current study. Women were seen during pregnancy and once postpartum as part of a longitudinal study on perinatal mood disorders. The current set of analyses focused on data collected at the 6-month postnatal visit and from birth records.

Procedure

Women and their infants completed a single 3-hr laboratory visit when infants were 6 months old. All study procedures began between 1:00 p.m. and 1:30 p.m. and were completed by 4:00 p.m. to control for known diurnal variations in cortisol levels (Jessop & Turner-Cobb, 2008; Kirschbaum & Hellhammer, 1989). Following maternal informed consent, a laboratory stressor paradigm was employed and four salivary cortisol samples were collected as follows.

The first baseline maternal and infant salivary cortisol samples were obtained (T0; baseline) in a quiet room with the mother and infant seated together. The second set of salivary samples (T1; postseparation stressor) were obtained after a 20-min mother–infant separation during which the mother completed questionnaires while her infant was held by a

Table 1. *Sample demographics*

Variable		Range
Maternal, *M* (*SD*)		
Age	34 (4) years	20–44
Ethnicity, *N* (%)	213 (91.4) Caucasian	—
Married/cohabitating, *N* (%)	215 (92.3) yes	—
Education, *N* (%)	171 (73.4) college graduate	—
History of MDE, *N* (%)	204 (87.6) yes	—
Current BDI, *M* (*SD*)	9.6 (9.0)	0–51
No. months depressed postpartum, *M* (*SD*)	0.8 (1.5)	0–6
Current		
Psychotropic medication, *N* (%)	192 (82.4) yes	—
Tobacco use, *N* (%)	22 (9.4) yes	—
Menstruation, *N* (%)	12 (5.2) yes	—
No. of pregnancy complications, *M* (*SD*)	1.7 (1.4)	0–7
% Time, *M* (*SD*)		
Gaze at infant	96.1 (4.8)	73.6–100
Positive affect	27.3 (23.7)	0–95.7
Currently breastfeeding, *N* (%)	121 (51.9) yes	—
Infant		
Age, *M* (*SD*)	6.3 (0.6) months	4.7–7.9
Sex, *N* (%)	112 (48.1) female	—
Ethnicity, *N* (%)	213 (91.4) Caucasian	—
Birth weight, *M* (*SD*)	3.3 (0.5) kg	1.6–4.8
No. of siblings, *M* (*SD*)	0.8 (0.9)	0–4

Note: The time gaze at infant and time positive affect were coded during the mother–infant interaction. MDE, major depressive episode; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory.

Table 2. *Frequency of lifetime psychiatric diagnoses*

Diagnosis	N (%)
Bipolar I disorder	33 (14.2)
Bipolar II disorder	5 (2.1)
Major depressive disorder	195 (83.7)
Dysthymic disorder	33 (14.2)
Schizophrenia	11 (4.7)
Panic disorder	68 (29.2)
Obsessive compulsive disorder	32 (13.7)
Posttraumatic stress disorder	34 (14.6)
Social anxiety disorder	34 (14.6)
Specific phobia	20 (8.6)
Generalized anxiety disorder	52 (22.3)
Alcohol dependence	19 (8.2)
Alcohol abuse	30 (12.9)
Drug dependence	9 (3.9)
Drug abuse	14 (6)

Note: Some women are included in multiple categories because of comorbid illnesses.

research assistant (RA) across the room. Next, the infant was exposed to two laboratory stressor tasks, including a brief arm restraint and a noise burst, while the mother monitored her infant's behavior on a computer screen. These stressor tasks were followed by a semistructured 3-min videotaped interaction between the mother and infant (details below). The third maternal and infant salivary cortisol samples were obtained immediately following the completion of the mother–infant interaction and approximately 15 to 20 min after the noise burst and arm restraint stressor tasks (T2; postlaboratory stressor I). The fourth saliva samples were collected from the mother and infant an additional 15 to 20 min later (T3; postlaboratory stressor II). Sample collection was timed to capture the full window of cortisol response to the stressors given that cortisol reactivity typically peaks 15 to 25 min after a stressor (Goldberg et al., 2003; Lopez-Duran, Mayer, & Abelson, 2014; Ramsay & Lewis, 2003), but may peak as late as 40 min poststressor in some infants (Goldberg et al., 2003). Including multiple poststressor cortisol samples increases the likelihood of capturing peak cortisol response and may capture cortisol recovery for some infants.

Measures

Maternal psychopathology. Maternal psychiatric illness since delivery was retrospectively evaluated using multiple measures as detailed below.

Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV. The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 2002) is a clinical interview based on DSM-IV diagnostic criteria that was administered by master's-level clinicians at the 6-month visit to assess current and lifetime psy-

chiatric status. Following data collection, a reliability analysis performed by an independent judge on 10% of the sample yielded κs over 0.75 for all mood disorder diagnoses. The number of months since delivery in which mothers met diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder was used as one measure of maternal depression.

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). Mothers completed the BDI (Beck et al., 1961). at the 6-month visit as an additional assessment of current depression severity. This measure is a 21-item self-report scale that evaluates depressive symptoms experienced in the last week. The symptoms listed on the measure are consistent with diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder in the DSM-IV. The BDI has been shown to have good test–retest reliability, high internal consistency, and good construct, concurrent, and discriminative validity in clinical and nonclinical samples (Beck, Steer, & Carbin, 1988; Steer, Ball, Raneeri, & Beck, 1997) and to exhibit stable performance and high predictive validity of major depressive disorder across pregnancy and the postpartum period (Ji et al., 2011). BDI scores were used in analyses as a measure of current maternal depressive symptomology.

HPA axis functioning. Saliva samples were used to measure cortisol levels of mothers and infants. The mother provided each saliva sample by swabbing a dental cotton roll inside her mouth. Infant saliva samples were collected using a similar methodology, with the RA swabbing the infant's mouth using a dental cotton roll. Saliva was then transferred from the cotton roll to a 15-ml polypropylene tube via syringe. Saliva samples were frozen at –20 °C within 15 min of collection.

Samples were assayed using a commercially available radioimmunoassay kit (DiaSorin GammaCoat, Stillwater, Minnesota) with a cortisol sensitivity of 0.05 µg/dl. Inter- and intraassay coefficients of variation for this kit are 6.0% and 3.5%, respectively. An RA masked to maternal psychiatric status and the time point at which each sample was collected assayed all standards and samples in duplicate.

Maternal affective parenting style. The mother–child interaction occurred after the infant stressors and was videotaped for later scoring. Mothers were instructed to interact with their infants as they typically would at home for 3 min, but asked not to physically touch their infants in order to avoid interference with concurrent collection of infant respiratory sinus arrhythmia. Respiratory sinus arrhythmia data collected from this study have been reported elsewhere (Johnson, Brennan, Stowe, Leibenluft, & Newport, 2014). Maternal affect (positive, negative, and neutral) and time spent looking at infant (i.e., gaze toward infant) was rated by trained coders, and interrater reliability analyses were conducted to ensure adequate reliability (κs > 0.70). The percentage of time mothers exhibited each type of affect was calculated using MANgold INTERACT software. Maternal positive affect was defined as a broad smile with cheeks raised, making funny or positive faces at the infant, half smiling, and when whole eyebrows

were raised and eyes were wide open while talking with infant. Maternal negative affect was identified as anger, disgust, contempt, sadness, fear, pain, or a turned down mouth, furrowed brow, raised inner corners of brow, and wincing/scrunched up face with the mouth turned down. Maternal neutral affect was defined as the absence of observable positive or negative affect as defined above. Because maternal negative affect showed little variability in this sample ($M = 0.07\%$ of time showing negative affect, $SD = 0.35$, range $= 0.0\%$–2.7%) compared to maternal positive affect ($M = 27.27\%$, $SD = 23.70$, range $= 0.0\%$–95.7%) and the focus of the study was on positive parenting behaviors, only positive affect and time spent gazing at infant were used in the following analyses.

Data analysis plan

Variables that have been previously associated with cortisol levels (e.g., maternal age, delivery complications, infant food intake, etc.) were examined as potential covariates prior to the primary analyses. Several variables that may be theoretically related to mother–infant attunement (e.g., amount of time infant spends away from mother each week) were examined as potential covariates. See Table 3 for a complete list of variables examined. Variables that were significantly associated with maternal or infant cortisol, or the association between mother–infant cortisol, were included as covariates in the appropriate analyses.

Multilevel modeling was conducted using Hierarchical Linear Modeling 7 (HLM-7) software to test the association between maternal and infant cortisol levels across time points as well as to explore predictors of this time-varying association. HLM-7 allows for the use of nested models, which enabled the researchers to examine changes in cortisol within mother–infant dyads across multiple time points rather than collapsing measures of cortisol across all mothers and all infants within a sample, the latter of which may obscure analyses of dyadic attunement.

Results

Since the primary aim of this study was to examine cortisol attunement in a clinically at-risk sample, dyads that were recruited from the community to serve as healthy controls ($n = 36$) were excluded from the present analyses. Dyads were also excluded if maternal or infant cortisol levels were more than three standard deviations above the mean ($n = 7$) or if mothers or infants only had one cortisol sample ($n = 5$). There were four sets of twins in the original sample, so one twin was randomly chosen from each set to be retained for data analysis. The other twin was excluded from analyses to maintain statistical assumptions of independence. The final sample included 233 mother–infant dyads. Of the infants, approximately half were male ($n = 121$, 51.9%) and all were between 4.7 and 7.9 months old ($M = 6.3$, $SD = 0.6$).

Linear, quadratic, and cubic relationships between time and maternal cortisol, as well as between time and infant cortisol, were examined. Maternal cortisol decreased linearly over the course of the visit, $\beta = -0.07$, 95% confidence interval (CI) $= [-0.08, -0.003]$, $p = .04$, while infant cortisol increased linearly over the visit, $\beta = 0.09$, 95% CI $= [0.02, 0.10]$, $p = .005$. Neither maternal nor infant cortisol showed a quadratic or cubic association with time (see Table 4 for cortisol means and standard deviations).

HLM was used to examine maternal and infant cortisol across all study time points. Infant cortisol (grand mean centered) and collection time point (uncentered) were entered as Level 1 predictors of maternal cortisol in the following model:

$$\text{maternal cortisol} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{infant cortisol}) + \beta_2(\text{time point}) + \text{error.} \quad (1)$$

Attunement between mothers and their infants was assessed using significance testing of Level 1 time-varying covariates (see Equation 1). Infant cortisol levels significantly predicted maternal cortisol, beyond any shared association between them due to timing of the sample collection. There was not a significant amount of variance remaining in maternal cortisol after accounting for the impact of infant cortisol and sample timing, $\sigma^2 = 0.07$, $T^2 = 0.03$, χ^2 ($df = 210$) $= 221.80$, $p = .28$. This lack of variance suggests that infant cortisol levels consistently predicted maternal cortisol levels across dyads, and that no moderators were present for this association.

Next, maternal cortisol (grand mean centered) was examined as a predictor of infant cortisol, over and above saliva collection time point (uncentered), to provide information about effect directionality:

$$\text{infant cortisol} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{maternal cortisol}) + \beta_2(\text{time point}) + \text{error.} \quad (2)$$

Maternal cortisol levels significantly predicted infant cortisol, above any shared association between them due to timing of the sample (see Table 5). Moreover, there was significant variance in infant cortisol remaining after accounting for the influence of maternal cortisol, $\sigma^2 = 0.15$, $T^2 = 0.12$, χ^2 ($df = 210$) $= 263.04$, $p = .008$, suggesting that moderators of this association could be tested at Level 2.

Next, current maternal depression, history of maternal depression since birth of infant, maternal gaze toward infant, and maternal positive affect were individually examined as Level 2 predictors of the slope of the relationship between mother and infant cortisol in the following model:

$$\beta_{10j} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}(\text{predictors}) + \text{error.} \quad (3)$$

In these predictor models, maternal cortisol was group mean centered, and infant cortisol was the dependent measure at Level 1. Neither maternal depression nor length of time mothers spent gazing at their infants was associated with mother–infant cortisol attunement. Conversely, the percent

Table 3. *Potential covariates and their relationship to primary outcome measures*

Potential Covariate	Maternal Cortisol				Infant Cortisol				Cortisol Attunement
	T0	T1	T2	T3	T0	T1	T2	T3	
Infant Factors									
Sex	$t(225) = 2.0$	*ns*	*ns*	$t(220) = 2.2$	*ns*	$t(226) = 2.6$	$t(224) = 2.6$	$t(213) = 2.5$	*ns*
Age	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Birth weight	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Ate before T0	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Ate before T1	—	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	—	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Ate before T2	—	—	*ns*	*ns*	—	—	*ns*	*ns*	$b = 0.04,$ t ratio $= 2.3$
Ate before T3	—	—	—	*ns*	—	—	—	*ns*	$b = 0.03,$ t ratio $= 2.5$
No. of siblings	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
No. of hours away from mom/week	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Mom Factors									
Age	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Current tobacco use	$t(219) = -2.7$	*ns*	$t(222) = -2.0$	$t(215) = -2.2$	$t(216) = -2.2$	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	$b = 0.57,$ t ratio $= 2.2$
Current menstruation	*ns*	$t(140) = -2.0$	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Aerobic activity today	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Hours slept last night	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Current psychotropic medication use	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Stress	*ns*	$r(220) = -.1$	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
Breastfeeding	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*
No. of pregnancy complications	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	$r(224) = .2$	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*	*ns*

Note: Only associations significant at $p < .05$ are shown. T0–T3, Times 0–3. *ns*, nonsignificant associations; —, associations that were not tested. Maternal stress was measured using the Perceived Stress Scale.

Table 4. *Cortisol means (standard deviations)*

Collection Time Point	Maternal Cortisol M (SD)	Infant Cortisol M (SD)
0 baseline	−1.13 (0.68)	−0.92 (0.73)
1 postseparation	−1.16 (0.64)	−0.77 (0.74)
2 post lab stressors I	−1.24 (0.69)	−0.85 (0.68)
3 post lab stressors II	−1.24 (0.69)	−0.69 (0.66)

Note: All cortisol values are log transformed.

of time mothers showed positive affect while interacting with their infants predicted infant cortisol attunement to his/her mother (see Table 6).

Time-lagged model

Time-lagged analyses provide additional insight into directionality of attunement. Hierarchical linear regressions were conducted in SPSS to examine whether maternal cortisol from the previous time point predicted infant cortisol at the subsequent time point, above and beyond the infant's own cortisol from the previous time point. These analyses were also conducted with infant cortisol predicting maternal cortisol at subsequent time points. The results from these analyses are graphically depicted in Figure 1. Given the strong correlations between infant and maternal cortisol at all time points, tolerance statistics were examined in these regressions to assess for multicollinearity. All tolerance statistics were above 0.75, suggesting that multicollinearity was not a significant issue in these analyses.

Mothers and infants showed positive autocorrelations between their own cortisol samples, such that one's prior cortisol level was associated with cortisol sampled at the subsequent time point. Maternal cortisol level at T1 (reflecting response to the initial mother–infant separation) positively predicted infant cortisol level at T2 (reflecting response to the stressor tasks), above and beyond infant cortisol level at T1. Maternal cortisol level after the stressor tasks (T2) also predicted infant cortisol level at the subsequent poststressor time point (T3), above and beyond infants' own cortisol level

Table 5. *Hierarchical linear models of physiological attunement*

Fixed Effect	Coefficient	SE	t Ratio
Maternal cortisol			
Infant cortisol slope			
(attunement)	0.09	0.03	2.83*
Time point slope	−0.04	0.01	−4.00***
Infant cortisol			
Maternal cortisol slope			
(attunement)	0.25	0.06	3.93***
Time point slope	0.08	0.02	3.50***

*$p < .05$. ***$p < .001$.

Table 6. *Maternal characteristics and behaviors as moderators of physiological attunement*

Fixed Effect	Coefficient	SE	t	p
Current maternal depression	0.01	0.01	0.64	.53
No. months of maternal depression	−0.06	0.09	−0.68	.50
Maternal gaze	−0.01	0.02	−0.70	.49
Maternal positive affect*	0.006	0.003	2.10	.03

Note: Infant cortisol was entered as the dependent variable for the above analyses. Analyses examined each Level 2 factor as a predictor of the slope of mother and infant cortisol.
*$p < .05$.

after the stressor tasks (T2). In other words, if a mother showed an attenuated cortisol level after a stressor (separation from her infant or the laboratory stressor task), her infant showed a more attenuated cortisol level at the subsequent time point. Infant cortisol level following separation (T1) predicted maternal cortisol level after the laboratory stressor (T2), which in turn predicted infant cortisol level at the next time point. Overall these findings suggest a bidirectional relationship such that infant cortisol levels and maternal cortisol levels influence one another within the context of a stressful event. No other significant time-lagged associations were detected.

Discussion

This is the first study to examine mother–infant cortisol attunement in a clinical sample of women who received psychiatric care during pregnancy. We found a moderate, bidirectional relationship between maternal and infant cortisol across four time points that was not influenced by maternal gaze during a parent–child interaction task or by concurrent maternal depression. Infant cortisol strongly predicted maternal cortisol, over and above any shared variance due to time at which the sample was collected. There was no significant variance in this association, suggesting that the cortisol of mothers in this clinical high-risk sample consistently mirrors that of their infants in the context of an infant stressor paradigm. This is contrary to literature suggesting impaired attachment and decreased sensitivity in mothers with depression, but is consistent with recent research that has failed to find differences in mother–child cortisol attunement between dyads at high and low familial risk for depression (LeMoult et al., 2015; Pratt et al., 2017). It is possible that cortisol attunement is a process that, although potentially related to attachment and maternal sensitivity, is distinct from these early caregiving factors and therefore not as clearly dampened by maternal depression. It is also possible that the trajectory of maternal depression may be a stronger predictor of cortisol attunement than current maternal depression (Laurent et al., 2011). The present study was limited in its ability to assess whether depression trajectories were associated with

Figure 1. The results from the analyses. The visit timeline is included below the graphical depiction of the results for reference. Only significant associations are shown, but mom T0 predicting infant T1, infant T0 predicting mom T1, and infant T2 predicting mom T3 were also tested. All relationships control for own cortisol at the previous time point. *$p < .05$, **$p < .01$.

mother–infant cortisol attunement in this sample, but future research should further examine this possibility. The present results suggest that mothers diagnosed with and at clinical high risk for depression are physiologically linked with their infants in the context of a stressor paradigm, and show sensitivity in that regard.

We also found that maternal cortisol predicted infant cortisol at all time points and that maternal positive affect moderated this association, such that greater maternal positive affect was associated with stronger mother–infant cortisol attunement. This is inconsistent with one study that found high levels of negative affect, but not positive affect, to predict stronger cortisol attunement between mothers and their adolescent children (Papp et al., 2009). These differences may reflect a shift in development between infants and adolescents or may be residuals of different study designs. The present study required a mother to interact with her infant following a stressor task. She was therefore most likely to show positive affect during this interaction in an attempt to soothe her infant. There was virtually no negative affect displayed by mothers in our study. Mothers were also asked not to touch their infants during our mother–child interaction. Mothers tend to touch their infants frequently during interactions (Beebe et al., 2010) so it is pos-

sible that restricting touch during our interaction may have impacted our results in an unexpected manner. In contrast, Papp et al. (2009) measured naturally fluctuating emotion over the course of two typical days. It is possible that maternal positive emotion is important for attunement during the context of stress, but not in day-to-day functioning. An alternative explanation is that any emotional extreme, such as high levels of either positive or negative emotional expression, may heighten cortisol attunement within dyads.

Research on emotional and behavioral contagion, or the spread of emotions and behaviors among social networks, shows that both positive and negative emotions spread across interconnected people (Coviello et al., 2014; Fowler & Christakis, 2008; Rosenquist, Fowler, & Christakis, 2011). Certain emotional states and health behaviors may even be transmitted between people who are randomly assigned to spend time together, suggesting that being predisposed to spend time with similar people does not fully explain this emotional attunement (Centola, 2010; Golberstein, Whitlock, & Downs, 2013). The present study extends such research by detecting cortisol attunement among mother–infant dyads and showing that emotional expression is one factor associated with the strength of this attunement. A hopeful avenue for future re-

search may be to examine whether parenting interventions that increase maternal positive affect (e.g., Landry, Smith, Swank, & Guttentag, 2008) also improve mother–infant physiological attunement.

Maternal cortisol predicted infant cortisol at subsequent time points of measurement, but only when the infant was responding to or recovering from the stressor tasks. These findings suggest that more well-regulated mothers may be better able to regulate their infants' response to stressful events, and that maternal regulation may be impacted by infant response to separation. Conversely, maternal cortisol dysregulation following stressful events may contribute to a positive feedback cycle in which maternal distress increases infant distress, and infant distress then heightens or maintains maternal distress. Such a cycle could increase the negative emotion expressed within a household, which may have deleterious consequences for preexisting maternal psychopathology, such as increased risk of disease relapse (Butzlaff & Hooley, 1998). Maternal baseline cortisol did not predict infant's cortisol levels at the subsequent time point, nor did infant baseline cortisol predict maternal cortisol at the subsequent time point. Therefore, the observed time-lagged associations between mother and infant cortisol were specific to the context of the stressor paradigm in this study. This is consistent with research that has found mother–child attunement to be stronger in the context of a challenge (Ruttle et al., 2011) and suggests that mother–infant cortisol attunement may be activated or heightened by stressful events.

Although still largely unknown, it is interesting to contemplate the factors that contribute to the development of bidirectional mother–infant attunement. Maternal plasma cortisol accounts for up to 39% of the variance in newborn plasma cortisol (Smith et al., 2011), suggesting that a significant portion of cortisol attunement may begin in utero or result from shared genetic predisposition. However, postnatal processes likely contribute to the continued development of bidirectional attunement. Research has identified stronger cortisol attunement when mothers and their infants are physically together compared to when they are apart, suggesting that shared environment may lead to similar HPA axis activity between mothers and infants (Hibel, Trumbell, & Mercado, 2014; Thompson & Trevathan, 2008). Maternal parenting behaviors, particularly ones that are inherently associated with increased attention and responsiveness to her infant, such as maternal sensitivity (Sethre-Hofstad et al., 2002; Thompson & Trevathan, 2008; van Bakel & Riksen-Walraven, 2008), also seem to foster the development of transactional attunement between mothers and their infants. It is also possible that certain infant characteristics, such as increased susceptibility to environmental influence (Belsky & Pluess, 2009) or lower emotional reactivity (Hibel et al., 2015), may predispose infants to be more likely to attune to their caregivers, particularly in the context of stress. However, it is important to be cautious when attributing causality to such relationships, especially since it has been suggested that attunement may improve infants' ability to self-regulate over time (Feld-

man, 2007). If empirical evidence corroborates that self-regulation is heightened by attunement over time, this may suggest that attunement is, at least in part, an infant-driven learning process. For instance, infants learning to attune to their caregivers' stress response may be one way they refine their physiological response to stressors over time, much in the same way infants learn to speak by imitating caregiver vocalizations.

Although the present study identifies a bidirectional influence of maternal and infant cortisol on each other, the directionality of this relationship may change with child maturation. One study has found adolescents' cortisol before, during, and after a family conflict discussion to be predicted by paternal cortisol at the previous time point, above and beyond adolescents' own cortisol. Adolescent cortisol was not predicted by maternal cortisol at the previous time point, but maternal cortisol at subsequent time points was predicted by adolescent cortisol (Saxbe et al., 2014). This change may occur as children become increasingly independent from their mothers with age. Infancy is a time when offspring are entirely dependent upon their caregivers (the primary of whom is often the mother), which may explain why the present study found infant physiology to be strongly connected with maternal physiology.

It is important to note that our sample consists of highly educated mothers, many of whom received psychiatric treatment at the time of their study visit. Despite the receipt of treatment, mothers in our sample displayed a wide range of BDI scores (see Table 1) with numerous scores ($n = 20$, 8.5%) above the clinical cutoff (i.e., 21) signifying moderate depression, suggesting that a number of women in our sample continued to experience clinically significant depression in the week leading up to their study visit. Although possible, it seems unlikely that the receipt of treatment interfered with our ability to examine the influence of maternal depression on physiological attunement. However, mother–infant attunement may not occur, or may occur to a lesser extent, in untreated dyads who experience additional stressors, such as those living below the poverty line (Clearfield et al., 2014; Laurent et al., 2011). Future research should therefore examine whether our findings replicate in clinical samples of women who face additional environmental stressors such as poverty. Including a comparison control group of healthy mother–infant dyads may offer additional insight into potential differences in attunement between infants at high and low familial risk for depression (e.g., LeMoult et al., 2015), particularly given mixed findings regarding attunement in community samples.

The attunement identified in the present study may not generalize in the same way to all biological markers of stress reactivity. For example, salivary alpha amylase, a marker of sympathetic nervous system activity, and cortisol attunement may occur differentially under different circumstances and at different ages (Davis & Granger, 2009; Laurent, Ablow, & Measelle, 2012). Future research should examine multiple markers of stress reactivity in the same research study to further identify converging and diverging patterns of within-dyad physiological attunement.

Causal conclusions cannot be drawn from the current study due to its correlational design. To date, there have been no experimental studies on the spread of physiological stress responses within mother–child dyads. Comparison of experimental manipulation of mother and child cortisol using stressors or pharmacological agents and an unmanipulated control group may provide greater insight into causality in this relationship. Moreover, studies have not examined the specificity of cortisol attunement by examining whether it exists between strangers. Determining whether cortisol attunement occurs only within intimate dyads or not would provide information that could drive future research on the mechanisms by which this physiological phenomenon occurs. For instance, if attunement only occurs within intimate dyads, it may be related to shared environment, similar experiences of stress exposure, or secure attachment within that dyad. However, if attunement readily occurs between strangers, then it may reflect general empathy instead of these shared factors that are more unique to intimate relationships. Even when comparing intimately connected dyads, there is great variability in the amount of time spent together and attachment security of the relationship. Additional research is needed to identify which aspects of the dyadic relationship foster or attenuate cortisol attunement across partners and whether these factors are further associated with attunement (or lack thereof) between strangers.

Research and theory are beginning to provide some insight into the adaptive benefit of emotional and physiological attunement. For instance, matching conspecifics who show a fear response may activate biological stress response systems, better preparing an animal for a fight or flight response to a threat. Experiencing an emotion that a conspecific displays may also create a connection that lays the groundwork for empathy (de Waal, 2008), which could further the propagation of a species. In human research, parents whose cortisol changes are driven by changes in their adolescents' cortisol show lower overall cortisol output during short-term family conflict. This lowered cortisol output may represent better parental physiological regulation in the context of a social stressor. Conversely, adolescents whose cortisol levels change in response to maternal cortisol changes show *higher* overall cortisol output during family conflict, suggesting this mother–child cortisol linkage may not be similarly helpful for adolescent self-regulation (Saxbe et al., 2014). Future research should examine whether physiological attunement between mothers and their children is associated with future child outcomes, such as child stress reactivity.

The current study has several strengths, including the use of HLM to examine predictors of attunement in a high-risk clinical sample. Mothers who have experienced psychopathology are an especially important group in which to study attunement as their infants are at increased risk of developing mental illness as they age (Goodman et al., 2011) and are more likely to be exposed to negative or disengaged parenting (Lovejoy et al., 2000). An additional strength of the study design is the use of multiple cortisol samples and control for variation in diurnal cortisol by only allowing lab visits to occur between 1 p.m. and 4 p.m.

Mother and infant cortisol levels exert a bidirectional influence on one another by 6 months postpartum in a sample of mothers who sought psychiatric treatment during pregnancy. These results suggest that many mothers who experience mental illness maintain a close physiological linkage with their infants, particularly in the context of stressors. The present study extends stress research conducted with healthy mother–child dyads by being the first to identify cortisol attunement in a clinical sample of mothers and their infants in the first year of life. This is an integral step in advancing theory regarding ontogeny of the human stress response and the influence of social factors on HPA axis functioning in children at heightened risk for depressive disorders.

References

Atkinson, L., Gonzalez, A., Kashy, D. A., Basile, V. S., Masellis, M., Pereira, J., . . . Levitan, R. (2013). Maternal sensitivity and infant and mother adrenocortical function across challenges. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *38*, 2943–2951. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.08.001

Atkinson, L., Khoury, J., Ludmer, J., Jamieson, B., & Gonzalez, A. (2016). Stress physiology in infancy and early childhood: Cortisol flexibility, attunement, and coordination. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1111/jne.12408

Bale, T. L. (2006). Stress sensitivity and the development of affective disorders. *Hormones and Behavior*, *50*, 529–533.

Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Carbin, M. G. (1988). Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *8*, 77–100. doi:10.1016/0272-7358(88)90050-5

Beck, A. T., Ward, C. H., Mendelson, M., Mock, J., Erbaugh, J., Comrey, A. L., . . . Wittenborn, J. R. (1961). An inventory for measuring depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *4*, 561–571. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1961.01710120031004

Beebe, B., Jaffe, J., Markese, S., Buck, K., Chen, H., Cohen, P., . . . Feldstein, S. (2010). The origins of 12-month attachment: A microanalysis of 4-month mother-infant interaction. *Attachment and Human Development*, *12*, 3–141. doi:10.1080/14616730903338985

Belsky, J., & Pluess, M. (2009). Beyond diathesis stress: Differential susceptibility to environmental influences. *Psychological Bulletin*, *135*, 885–908. doi:10.1037/a0017376

Blair, C., Granger, D., Willoughby, M., & Kivlighan, K. (2006). Maternal sensitivity is related to hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis stress reactivity and regulation in response to emotion challenge in 6-month-old onfants. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1094*, 263–267.

Brandtstädter, J., Baltes-Götz, B., Kirschbaum, C., & Hellhammer, D. (1991). Developmental and personality correlates of adrenocortical activity as indexed by salivary cortisol: Observations in the age range of 35 to 65 years. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *35*, 173–185. doi:10.1016/0022-3999(91)90072-V

Burke, H. M., Davis, M. C., Otte, C., & Mohr, D. C. (2005). Depression and cortisol responses to psychological stress: A meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *30*, 846–856.

Butzlaff, R. L., & Hooley, J. M. (1998). Expressed emotion and psychiatric relapse. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *55*, 547. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.55.6.547

Centola, D. (2010). The spread of behavior in an online social network experiment. *Science*, *329*(5996), 1194–1197.

Clearfield, M. W., Carter-Rodriguez, A., Merali, A.-R., & Shober, R. (2014). The effects of SES on infant and maternal diurnal salivary cortisol output. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *37*, 298–304. doi:10.1016/j.infbeh.2014.04.008

Coviello, L., Sohn, Y., Kramer, A. D. I., Marlow, C., Franceschetti, M., Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. (2014). Detecting emotional contagion in massive social networks. *PLOS ONE*, *9*, e90315. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090315

Davis, E. P., & Granger, D. A. (2009). Developmental differences in infant salivary alpha-amylase and cortisol responses to stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *34*, 795–804.

De Waal, F. B. (2008). Putting the altruism back into altruism: The evolution of empathy. *Annual Reviews of Psychology*, *59*, 279–300.

Feldman, R. (2003). Infant-mother and infant-father synchrony: The coregulation of positive arousal. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, *24*, 1–23. doi:10.1002/imhj.10041

Feldman, R. (2007). Parent–infant synchrony and the construction of shared timing: Physiological precursors, developmental outcomes, and risk conditions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *48*, 329–354.

Field, T., Healy, B. T., Goldstein, S., & Guthertz, M. (1990). Behavior-state matching and synchrony in mother-infant interactions of nondepressed versus depressed dyads. *Developmental Psychology*, *26*, 7.

First, M. B., Spitzer, R. L., Gibbon, M., Williams, J. B. W. (2002). *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I disorders, research version, patient edition*. New York: New York Psychiatric Institute, Biometric Research.

Fowler, J. H., & Christakis, N. A. (2008). Dynamic spread of happiness in a large social network: Longitudinal analysis over 20 years in the Framingham Heart Study. *British Medical Journal*, *337*, a2338.

Golberstein, E., Whitlock, J. L., & Downs, M. F. (2013). Social contagion of mental health: Evidence from college roommates. *Health Economics*, *22*, 965–986. doi:10.1002/hec.2873

Goldberg, S., Levitan, R., Leung, E., Masellis, M., Basile, V. S., Nemeroff, C. B., & Atkinson, L. (2003). Cortisol concentrations in 12- to 18-month-old infants: Stability over time, location, and stressor. *Biological Psychiatry*, *54*, 719–726. doi:10.1016/S0006-3223(03)00010-6

Goodman, S. H., & Gotlib, I. (1999). Risk for psychopathology in the children of depressed mothers: A developmental model for understanding mechanisms of transmission. *Psychological Review*, *106*, 458–490. doi:10.1037/10449-000

Goodman, S. H., Rouse, M. H., Connell, A. M., Broth, M. R., Hall, C. M., & Heyward, D. (2011). Maternal depression and child psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, *14*, 1–27. doi:10.1007/s10567-010-0080-1

Gunnar, M. R., & Donzella, B. (2002). Social regulation of the cortisol levels in early human development. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *27*, 199–220.

Hane, A. A., & Fox, N. A. (2006). Ordinary variations in maternal caregiving influence human infants' stress reactivity. *Psychological Science*, *17*, 550–556.

Hibel, L. C., Granger, D. A., Blair, C., & Finegood, E. D. (2015). Maternal-child adrenocortical attunement in early childhood: Continuity and change. *Developmental Psychobiology*, *57*, 83–95. doi:10.1002/dev.21266

Hibel, L. C., Trumbell, J. M., & Mercado, E. (2014). Work/non-workday differences in mother, child, and mother–child morning cortisol in a sample of working mothers and their children. *Early Human Development*, *90*, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2013.11.007

Hunter, A. L., Minnis, H., & Wilson, P. (2011). Altered stress responses in children exposed to early adversity: A systematic review of salivary cortisol studies. *Stress*, *14*, 614–626.

Jessop, D. S., & Turner-Cobb, J. M. (2008). Measurement and meaning of salivary cortisol: A focus on health and disease in children: Review. *Stress*, *11*, 1–14.

Ji, S., Long, Q., Newport, D. J., Na, H., Knight, B., Zach, E. B., . . . Stowe, Z. N. (2011). Validity of depression rating scales during pregnancy and the postpartum period: Impact of trimester and parity. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, *45*, 213–219. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.05.017

Johnson, K. C., Brennan, P. A., Stowe, Z. N., Leibenluft, E., & Newport, D. J. (2014). Physiological regulation in infants of women with a mood disorder: Examining associations with maternal symptoms and stress. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, *55*, 191–198. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12130

Kirschbaum, C., & Hellhammer, D. H. (1989). Salivary cortisol in psychobiological research: An overview. *Neuropsychobiology*, *22*, 150–169.

Landry, S. H., Smith, K. E., Swank, P. R., & Guttentag, C. (2008). A responsive parenting intervention: The optimal timing across early childhood for impacting maternal behaviors and child outcomes. *Developmental Psychology*, *44*, 1335–1353. doi:10.1037/a0013030

Laurent, H. K., Ablow, J. C., & Measelle, J. (2011). Risky shifts: How the timing and course of mothers' depressive symptoms across the perinatal period shape their own and infant's stress response profiles. *Development and Psychopathology*, *23*, 521–538. doi:10.1017/S0954579411000083

Laurent, H. K., Ablow, J. C., & Measelle, J. (2012). Taking stress response out of the box: Stability, discontinuity, and temperament effects on HPA and SNS across social stressors in mother–infant dyads. *Developmental Psychology*, *48*, 35.

LeMoult, J., Chen, M. C., Foland-Ross, L. C., Burley, H. W., & Gotlib, I. H. (2015). Concordance of mother-daughter diurnal cortisol production: Understanding the intergenerational transmission of risk for depression. *Biological Psychology*, *108*, 98–104. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2015.03.019

Lopez-Duran, N. L., Mayer, S. E., & Abelson, J. L. (2014). Modeling neuroendocrine stress reactivity in salivary cortisol: Adjusting for peak latency variability. *Stress*, *17*, 285–295. doi:10.3109/10253890.2014.915517

Lovejoy, M. C., Graczyk, P. A., O'Hare, E., & Neuman, G. (2000). Maternal depression and parenting behavior: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *20*, 561–592.

Merwin, S. M., Smith, V. C., Kushner, M., Lemay, E. P., & Dougherty, L. R. (2017). Parent-child adrenocortical concordance in early childhood: The moderating role of parental depression and child temperament. *Biological Psychology*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2017.01.013

Newport, D. J., Stowe, Z. N., & Nemeroff, C. B. (2002). Parental depression: Animal models of an adverse life event. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *159*, 1265–1283.

Papp, L. M., Pendry, P., & Adam, E. K. (2009). Mother-adolescent physiological synchrony in naturalistic settings: Within-family cortisol associations and moderators. *Journal of Family Psychology*, *23*, 882–894.

Paulson, J. F., & Bazemore, S. D. (2010). Prenatal and postpartum depression in fathers and its association with maternal depression: A meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *303*, 1961–1969.

Powers, S. I., Pietromonaco, P. R., Gunlicks, M., & Sayer, A. (2006). Dating couples' attachment styles and patterns of cortisol reactivity and recovery in response to a relationship conflict. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *90*, 513–528.

Pratt, M., Apter-Levi, Y., Vakart, A., Kanat-Maymon, Y., Zagoory-Sharon, O., & Feldman, R. (2017). Mother-child adrenocortical synchrony: Moderation by dyadic relational behavior. *Hormones and Behavior*, *89*, 167–175. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2017.01.003

Ramsay, D., & Lewis, M. (2003). Reactivity and regulation in cortisol and behavioral responses to stress. *Child Development*, *74*, 456–464. doi:10.1111/1467-8624.7402009

Righetti-Veltema, M., Conne-Perréard, E., Bousquet, A., & Manzano, J. (2002). Postpartum depression and mother–infant relationship at 3 months old. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *70*, 291–306. doi:10.1016/S0165-0327(01)00367-6

Rosenquist, J. N., Fowler, J. H., & Christakis, N. A. (2011). Social network determinants of depression. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *16*, 273–281.

Ruttle, P. L., Serbin, L. A., Stack, D. M., Schwartzman, A. E., & Shirtcliff, E. A. (2011). Adrenocortical attunement in mother-child dyads: Importance of situational and behavioral characteristics. *Biological Psychology*, *88*, 104–111. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2011.06.014

Sanchez, M. M. (2006). The impact of early adverse care on HPA axis development: Nonhuman primate models. *Hormones and Behavior*, *50*, 623–631. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2006.06.012

Sanchez, M. M., McCormack, K., Grand, A. P., Fulks, R., Graff, A., & Maestripieri, D. (2010). Effects of sex and early maternal abuse on adrenocorticotropin hormone and cortisol responses to corticotropin-releasing hormone challenge during the first 3 years of life in group-living rhesus monkeys. *Development and Psychopathology*, *22*, 45–53. doi:10.1017/S0954579409990253

Saxbe, D. E., Margolin, G., Spies Shapiro, L., Ramos, M., Rodriguez, A., & Iturralde, E. (2014). Relative influences: Patterns of HPA axis concordance during triadic family interaction. *Health Psychology*, *33*, 273–281.

Schechter, J. C., Brennan, P. A., Smith, A. K., Stowe, Z. N., Newport, D. J., & Johnson, K. C. (2017). Maternal prenatal psychological distress and preschool cognitive functioning: The protective role of positive parental engagement. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *45*, 249–260. doi:10.1007/s10802-016-0161-9

Sethre-Hofstad, L., Stansbury, K., & Rice, M. A. (2002). Attunement of maternal and child adrenocortical response to child challenge. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *27*, 731–747.

Smith, A. K., Newport, D. J., Ashe, M. P., Brennan, P. A., Laprairie, J. L., Calamaras, M., . . . Stowe, Z. N. (2011). Predictors of neonatal hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity at delivery. *Clinical Endocrinology*, *75*, 90–95. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2265.2011.03998.x

Steer, R. A., Ball, R., Raneeri, W. F., & Beck, A. T. (1997). Further evidence for the construct validity of the Beck Depression Inventory-II with psychiatric outpatients. *Psychological Reports*, *80*, 443–446.

Thompson, L. A., & Trevathan, W. R. (2008). Cortisol reactivity, maternal sensitivity, and learning in 3-month-old infants. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *31*, 92–106.

van Bakel, H. J. A., & Riksen-Walraven, J. M. (2008). Adrenocortical and behavioral attunement in parents with 1-year-old infants. *Developmental Psychobiology*, *50*, 196–201.

Woody, M. L., Feurer, C., Sosoo, E. E., Hastings, P. D., & Gibb, B. E. (2016). Synchrony of physiological activity during mother–child interaction: Moderation by maternal history of major depressive disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *57*, 843–850. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12562